THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, MUMBAI.

COMPLAINT NO: CC006000000110723

Surinder Singh Gill

... Complainant.

Versus

Horizon Project Private Limited.

...Respondents.

(My City - Phase I - Part III)

MahaRERA Regn: P51700005477

B.D. Kapadnis, Member II. Coram:

Appearance:

Complainant: Adv. Ms Ganga Kakade.

Respondents. Adv. Mr. Jadhav.

FINAL ORDER

22nd January 2020.

The complainant has filed this complaint seeking the refund of his amount without pleading material facts. The matter came before the Authority on 13.11.2019. The advocate Mr. Tushar Kate appeared for the complainant and undertook to file his VP and he also sought time for amending the complaint. Thereafter, the complaint was adjourned to 24.12.2019 for filing VP of Mr. Kate and for submitting the application for amending the complaint. Today, the complainant is absent and the advocate Ms. Ganga appears for the complainant with the application for seeking time to file Vakalatnama and the application for amendment. It is the mandate of law that the complaint must be decided within 60 days. Ms. Ganga has no instructions as to what type of amendment the complainant seeks and therefore, she is unable to file even the application for amendment of the complaint. More than sufficient time is given to the complainant. I do not find it necessary to adjourn the matter though Ms. Ganga requests repeatedly for it because there is no just reason for doing so. Hence, the order.

ORDER

The complaint is dismissed.

The complainant is at liberty to file another complaint with proper pleadings

and proper reliefs.

Mumbai.

Date: 22.01.2020.

(B. D. Kapadnis)

Member II, MahaRERA,

Mumbai.